

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 05-6268**

---

ROBERT FROST, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MIKE D. ILLES, Administrator, in his official  
capacity and Individual capacity,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

**No. 05-6643**

---

ROBERT FROST, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MIKE D. ILLES, Administrator, in his official  
capacity and Individual capacity,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of  
South Carolina, at Anderson. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., Chief  
District Judge. (CA-04-943-8-17BI; CA-04-943-8-JFA)

---

Submitted: September 29, 2005

Decided: October 5, 2005

---

Before WILKINSON, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Robert Frost, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Edgar Lloyd Willcox, II, WILLCOX, BUYCK & WILLIAMS, P.A., Florence, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Robert Frost, Jr., appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Frost v. Illes, Nos. CA-04-943-8-17BI; CA-04-943-8-JFA (D.S.C. filed Feb. 7, 2005 & entered Feb. 8, 2005; Mar. 23, 2005). We deny Frost's motion for relief from judgment and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED